1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California		
2	PAUL C. AMENT Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	E. A. JONES, III, State Bar No. 71375 Deputy Attorney General		
4	ELAINE GYURKO Senior Legal Analyst California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702		
5			
<ul><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-4944 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10	BEFORE THE RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	STATE OF CAL	IFORMA	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. R-2066	
14	JONATHAN M. BLOCK P. O. Box 1805	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
15	Bishop, California 93515	[Government Code § 11520]	
16	Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 12375		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>		
20	1. On or about March 28, 2007, Complainant Stephanie Nunez, in her		
21	official capacity as Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California, Department of		
22	Consumer Affairs, State of California, filed Accusation No. R-2066 against Jonathan M. Block		
23	(Respondent) before the Respiratory Care Board (Board).		
24	2. On or about January 9, 1989, the Board issued Respiratory Care		
25	Practitioner License Number 12375 to Respondent. This license expired on December 31, 2006,		
26	and has not been renewed.		
27	3. On or about March 28, 2007,	Jennifer Porcalla, an employee of the	
28	Complainant Agency, served by Certified and First (	Class Mail a copy of the Accusation No.	

R-2066, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board at P.O. Box 1805, Bishop, California 93515. A copy of the Accusation, the associated supplemental documents, and Declaration of Service are attached as Exhibit A, and incorporated as if fully set forth herein.

- 4. The above-described service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law pursuant to the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
- 5. On or about April 24, 2007, the Domestic Return Receipt was signed acknowledging receipt of the Accusation and related documents. A copy of the Domestic Return Receipt is attached as Exhibit B, and incorporated herein by reference.
  - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Within 15 days after service of the accusation the respondent may file with the agency a notice of defense. . . .

" . . .

- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. R-2066.
  - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing, and based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in

1 Exhibit A, finds that the allegations, and each of them, in Accusation No. R-2066 are true. 2 10. The Board further finds that pursuant to Business and Professions Code 3 section 3753.5, the costs of investigation and enforcement of the case prayed for in the 4 Accusation total \$1,251.50 based on the Certification of Costs contained in Exhibit C. 5 **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES** 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Jonathan M. Block 6 7 has subjected his Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 12375 to discipline. 8 2. Service of the Accusation and the related documents was proper and in 9 accordance with the law. 10 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default. 11 4. The Board is authorized to revoke Respondent's Respiratory Care 12 Practitioner License based upon violations of Business and Professions Code sections 3750, subdivision (d), 3752, and 490, and California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1399.370, 13 14 subdivision (c), conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or 15 duties of a respiratory care practitioner as alleged in the Accusation. 5. 16 Respondent is hereby ordered to pay the above costs of investigation and enforcement of this action. 17 18 19 **ORDER** 20 1. Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 12375, heretofore issued to 21 Respondent Jonathan M. Block, is hereby revoked. 22 2. If Respondent ever files an application for relicensure or reinstatement in 23 the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must 24 comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in 25 effect at the time the petition is filed. 26 3. Respondent is ordered to reimburse the Board the amount of \$1,251.50 27 for its investigative and enforcement costs. The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not 28 relieve Respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Board for its costs. Respondent's

1	Respiratory Care Practitioner License may not be renewed or reinstated unless all costs ordered	
2	under Business and Professions Code section 3753.5 have been paid.	
3	4. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent	
4	may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied	
5	on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its	
6	discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in	
7	the statute.	
8	This Decision shall become effective on <u>August 16, 2007</u> .	
9	It is so ORDERED July 17, 2007	
10		
11		
12	Original signed by: LARRY L. RENNER, BS, RRT, RCP, RPFT	
13	PRESIDENT, RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
14	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		